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## The tracking

To reconstruct the particle path to find the origin (vertex) and the momentum The trajectory is usually curved by the Lorentz force

$$
{ }^{0}{ }^{\times B} \underline{B}=\frac{q}{c} \underline{q} \times \underline{B}
$$

Even when $B$ is uniform, the trajectory is
NOT an helix, due to

- energy loss
- multiple scattering

The track is defined as a set of points usually on detector planes (real and/or virtual)

## The track

the five track coordinates: $\quad 1 / \mathrm{p}, \mathrm{v}^{\prime}, \mathrm{w}^{\prime}, \mathrm{v}, \mathrm{w}$

master reference system
Figure 1: The five track parameters
Since on a detector plane we have two coordinates ( $v, w$ ) and three momentum components ( $p_{u}, p_{v}, p_{w}$ ) the track

| Fitting method | Helix | Spline | Kalman |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Magnetic field dishomogeneity | NO | YES | YES |
| Material effect | NO | NO | YES |

Tracking neglecting inhomogeneous magnetic field and the medium effects

## Global fit HELIX

## Tracking in <br> inhomogeneous magnetic field neglecting the medium effects

## Global fit SPLINES

H. Wind, NIM 115(1974)431

Progressive fit KALMAN
R. Frühwirth, NIM A262(1987)444

## The Helix

(a) Neegtive Traak

No matter and uniform magnetic field


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{x}=\mathrm{x}_{0}+\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{H}}\left[\cos \left(\Phi_{0}+\mathrm{hs} \frac{\cos \lambda}{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{H}}}\right)-\cos \Phi_{0}\right] \\
& \mathrm{z}=\mathrm{y}_{0}+\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{H}}\left[\sin \left(\Phi_{0}+\mathrm{hs} \frac{\cos \lambda}{\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{H}}}\right)-\sin \Phi_{0}\right] \\
& \mathrm{z}_{0}+\mathrm{s} \sin \lambda \\
& \mathrm{~s}=\text { track length } \\
& \mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{x}_{0}, \mathrm{y}_{0}, \mathrm{z}_{0}\right)=\text { starting point } \\
& \lambda=\text { dip angle } \\
& \Phi_{0}=\text { azimuthal angle } \\
& R_{\mathrm{H}}=\text { radius } \\
& \mathrm{h}==\operatorname{sign}\left(\mathrm{qB} \mathrm{qB}_{\mathrm{z}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\mathrm{s}=\frac{\mathrm{R}}{\mathrm{~h} \cos \lambda}\left[\arctan \left(\frac{\mathrm{R} \sin \Phi_{0}+\left(-\mathrm{y}_{0}\right)}{\mathrm{R} \cos \Phi_{0}+\left(-\mathrm{x}_{0}\right.}\right)-\Phi_{0}\right]
$$

## Spline fit

No medium effects, dishomogeneous magnetic field is taken intoaccount

- The spline is a smooth segmented polynomial
- Cubic spline through $n+1$ points $y_{0}, \ldots, y_{n}$ :

-The parameters are found by constraining the pieces of splines to be connected in the measured points assuring the continuity up to the 2 derivative


## What is the track follower?

Also MC with fluctuations


## Tracking

$$
e_{i}, \boldsymbol{\sigma}\left[e_{i}\right] \Delta x, \text { medium } \rightarrow \begin{aligned}
& \text { track } \\
& \text { followe }
\end{aligned}
$$



## vs MC

simulation
(many particles)



## GEANE

## V. Innocente et al. Average Tracking and Error Propagation Package, CERN Program Library W5013-E (1991).

## Two main tasks:

- Track propagation: the same MC geometry banks are used.
- Error propagation:
-from one point to another one
-In the same point between different systems

GEANE = tracking with the geometry of GEANT3 + a lot of mathematics for the transport matrix calculation


## Track propagation

Tracking:

$$
e_{j}\left[k_{i}\right]=\boldsymbol{G}\left[k_{i}\right],
$$

$G$ is the software part that calculates the trajectory taking into account magnetic field and energy loss.
Error propagation:
If $\sigma\left[k_{i}\right]$ is the covariance matrix on the prediction $k_{i}$, the error on the extrapolated point $e_{i}$ is given by the standard error propagation:

$$
\boldsymbol{\sigma}\left[e_{j}\right]=\boldsymbol{T}_{i j} \boldsymbol{\sigma}\left[k_{i}\right] \boldsymbol{T}_{i j}^{T}+\boldsymbol{W}_{i j}^{-1} \quad T_{i j}\left(l_{2}, l_{1}\right)=\frac{\partial e^{i}\left(l_{2}\right)}{\partial e^{j}\left(l_{1}\right)},
$$

$T_{i j}$ is the transport (derivative or gradient) matrix
$W_{i j}$ contains the errors (fluctuations) due to multiple scattering and energy loss. The calculation of this materribly complicated, so that usually people search for already existing and reliable products.

## Track propagation II



# a piece of helix 

 field along $z$-axis $\mathrm{M}(\mathrm{s})$ is the position vector

## Track propagation III

Now the tracking can be performed, with the unique assumption for the field to be constant within one step, so that for an arbitrary magnetic field the track can be written as a series of helix pieces (one for each step). To perform the tracking let's define an orthogonal right-handed triplet of axes $\left(n_{i}, b_{i}, h_{i}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathbf{h}_{i}=\frac{\mathbf{H}_{i}}{\left|\mathbf{H}_{i}\right|} & \mathbf{M}_{i+1}=\mathbf{M}_{i}+\rho\left[\left(1-\cos \Theta_{i}\right) \cdot \mathbf{n}_{i}+\sin \Theta_{i} \cdot \mathbf{b}_{i}+\Theta_{i} \tan \lambda_{i} \cdot \mathbf{h}_{i}\right] \\
\mathbf{n}_{i}=\frac{\mathbf{T}_{i} \times \mathbf{h}_{i}}{\left|\mathbf{T}_{i} \times \mathbf{h}_{i}\right|} & \mathbf{T}_{i+1}=\cos \lambda_{i}\left[\sin \Theta_{i} \cdot \mathbf{n}_{i}+\cos \Theta_{i} \cdot \mathbf{b}_{i}+\tan \lambda_{i} \cdot \mathbf{h}_{i}\right] \\
\mathbf{T}=\frac{\mathbf{p}}{p} \\
\mathbf{b}_{i}=\mathbf{h}_{i} \times \mathbf{n}_{i}
\end{array} \begin{array}{r}
\mathbf{N}=\frac{\mathbf{H} \times \mathbf{T}}{\| \mathbf{H} \times \mathbf{T} \mid} \\
\mathbf{R}=\mathbf{T} \times \mathbf{N}
\end{array}
$$

The matrix to change from $\left(n_{i}, b_{i}, h_{i}\right)$ to $\left(N_{i}, R_{i}, T_{i}\right)$ is

$$
\left(\begin{array}{l}
N \\
R \\
T
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
-1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -\sin \lambda & \cos \lambda \\
0 & \cos \lambda & \sin \lambda
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{l}
n \\
b \\
h
\end{array}\right)
$$

The helix can then be parametrized as follows ([7] and [9]):

$$
\mathbf{M}=\mathbf{M}_{0}+\frac{\gamma}{Q}(\theta-\sin \theta) \cdot \mathbf{H}+\frac{\sin \theta}{Q} \cdot \mathbf{T}_{0}+\frac{\alpha}{Q}(1-\cos \theta) \cdot \mathbf{N}_{0}
$$

## Track propagation IV


with M being the position vector of the point on the helix at path length $s$ from the reference point $\mathrm{M}_{0}$ (at $s=0$ ), $\mathrm{H}=\mathrm{B} /|\mathrm{B}|$ being a normalized magnetic field vector, $\mathrm{T}=\mathrm{p} /|\mathrm{p}|$ being a normalized tangent vector to the track, $\mathbf{N}=(\mathbf{H} \times \mathbf{T}) / \alpha$ with $\alpha=|\mathbf{H} \times \mathbf{T}|, \gamma=\mathbf{H} \cdot \mathbf{T}, Q=-|\mathbf{B}| q / p$ with $p=|\mathrm{p}|$ being the absolute value of the 3 -momentum vector, $q= \pm 1$ denoting the charge of the particle, and $\theta=Q \cdot s$. The numerical value of $|\mathrm{B}|$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
d \mathbf{M} & =\frac{\partial \mathbf{M}}{\partial \mathbf{M}_{0}} \cdot d \mathbf{M}_{0}+\frac{\partial \mathbf{M}}{\partial \mathbf{T}_{0}} \cdot d \mathbf{T}_{0}+\frac{\partial \mathbf{M}}{\partial\left(q / p_{0}\right)} \cdot \delta\left(q / p_{0}\right)+\frac{\partial \mathbf{M}}{\partial s} \cdot \delta s \\
d \mathbf{T} & =\frac{\partial \mathbf{T}}{\partial \mathbf{T}_{0}} \cdot d \mathbf{T}_{0}+\frac{\partial \mathbf{T}}{\partial\left(q / p_{0}\right)} \cdot \delta\left(q / p_{0}\right)+\frac{\partial \mathbf{T}}{\partial s} \cdot \delta s
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial(q / p)}{\partial\left(q / p_{0}\right)}=1, \\
& \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial\left(q / p_{0}\right)}=-\alpha Q \cdot\left(\frac{q}{p}\right)^{-1} \cdot(\mathbf{N} \cdot \mathbf{V}) \cdot\left[\mathbf{T} \cdot\left(\mathbf{M}_{0}-\mathbf{M}\right)\right] \text {, } \\
& \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial \lambda_{0}}=\cos \theta \cdot\left(\mathbf{V}_{0} \cdot \mathbf{V}\right)+\sin \theta \cdot\left(\left(\mathbf{H} \times \mathbf{V}_{0}\right) \cdot \mathbf{V}\right) \\
& +(1-\cos \theta) \cdot\left(\mathbf{H} \cdot \mathbf{V}_{0}\right) \cdot(\mathbf{H} \cdot \mathbf{V}) \\
& +\alpha(\mathbf{N} \cdot \mathbf{V})\left[-\sin \theta\left(\mathbf{V}_{0} \cdot \mathbf{T}\right)+\alpha(1-\cos \theta)\left(\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{0}} \cdot \mathbf{N}\right)\right. \\
& \left.-(\theta-\sin \theta)(\mathbf{H} \cdot \mathbf{T})\left(\mathbf{H} \cdot \mathbf{V}_{0}\right)\right] \text {, } \\
& \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial \phi_{0}}=\cos \lambda_{0}\left\{\cos \theta \cdot\left(\mathbf{U}_{0} \cdot \mathbf{V}\right)+\sin \theta \cdot\left(\left(\mathbf{H} \times \mathbf{U}_{0}\right) \cdot \mathbf{V}\right)\right. \\
& +(1-\cos \theta) \cdot\left(\mathbf{H} \cdot \mathbf{U}_{\mathbf{0}}\right) \cdot(\mathbf{H} \cdot \mathbf{V}) \\
& +\alpha(\mathbf{N} \cdot \mathbf{V})\left[-\sin \theta\left(\mathbf{U}_{0} \cdot \mathbf{T}\right)+\alpha(1-\cos \theta)\left(\mathbf{U}_{\mathbf{0}} \cdot \mathbf{N}\right)\right. \\
& \left.\left.-(\theta-\sin \theta)(\mathbf{H} \cdot \mathbf{T})\left(\mathbf{H} \cdot \mathbf{U}_{0}\right)\right]\right\} \text {, } \\
& \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial x_{\perp 0}}=-\alpha Q(\mathbf{N} \cdot \mathbf{V})\left(\mathbf{U}_{0} \cdot \mathbf{T}\right), \\
& \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial y_{\perp 0}}=-\alpha Q(\mathbf{N} \cdot \mathbf{V})\left(\mathbf{V}_{0} \cdot \mathbf{T}\right) \text {, } \\
& \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial\left(q / p_{0}\right)}=-\frac{\alpha Q}{\cos \lambda} \cdot\left(\frac{q}{p}\right)^{-1} \cdot(\mathbf{N} \cdot \mathbf{U}) \cdot\left[\mathbf{T} \cdot\left(\mathbf{M}_{0}-\mathbf{M}\right)\right] \text {, } \\
& \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \lambda_{0}}=\frac{1}{\cos \lambda}\left\{\cos \theta \cdot\left(\mathbf{V}_{0} \cdot \mathbf{U}\right)+\sin \theta \cdot\left(\left(\mathbf{H} \times \mathbf{V}_{0}\right) \cdot \mathbf{U}\right)\right. \\
& +(1-\cos \theta) \cdot\left(\mathbf{H} \cdot \mathbf{V}_{0}\right) \cdot(\mathbf{H} \cdot \mathbf{U}) \\
& +\alpha(\mathbf{N} \cdot \mathbf{U})\left[-\sin \theta\left(\mathbf{V}_{0} \cdot \mathbf{T}\right)+\alpha(1-\cos \theta)\left(\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{0}} \cdot \mathbf{N}\right)\right. \\
& \left.\left.-(\theta-\sin \theta)(\mathbf{H} \cdot \mathbf{T})\left(\mathbf{H} \cdot \mathbf{V}_{0}\right)\right]\right\}, \\
& \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \phi_{0}}=\frac{\cos \lambda_{0}}{\cos \lambda}\left\{\cos \theta \cdot\left(\mathbf{U}_{\mathbf{0}} \cdot \mathbf{U}\right)+\sin \theta \cdot\left(\left(\mathbf{H} \times \mathbf{U}_{\mathbf{0}}\right) \cdot \mathbf{U}\right)\right. \\
& +(1-\cos \theta) \cdot\left(\mathbf{H} \cdot \mathbf{U}_{\mathbf{0}}\right) \cdot(\mathbf{H} \cdot \mathbf{U}) \\
& +\alpha(\mathbf{N} \cdot \mathbf{U})\left[-\sin \theta\left(\mathbf{U}_{\mathbf{0}} \cdot \mathbf{T}\right)+\alpha(1-\cos \theta)\left(\mathbf{U}_{\mathbf{0}} \cdot \mathbf{N}\right)\right. \\
& \left.\left.-(\theta-\sin \theta)(\mathbf{H} \cdot \mathbf{T})\left(\mathbf{H} \cdot \mathbf{U}_{0}\right)\right]\right\} \text {, } \\
& \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_{\perp 0}}=-\frac{\alpha Q}{\cos \lambda}(\mathbf{N} \cdot \mathbf{U})\left(\mathbf{U}_{0} \cdot \mathbf{T}\right), \\
& \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y_{\perp 0}}=-\frac{\alpha Q}{\cos \lambda}(\mathbf{N} \cdot \mathbf{U})\left(\mathbf{V}_{0} \cdot \mathbf{T}\right) \text {, } \\
& \frac{\partial x_{\perp}}{\partial\left(q / p_{0}\right)}=\left(\frac{q}{p}\right)^{-1}\left[\mathbf{U} \cdot\left(\mathbf{M}_{0}-\mathbf{M}\right)\right] \text {, } \\
& \frac{\partial x_{\perp}}{\partial \lambda_{0}}=\frac{\sin \theta}{Q}\left(\mathbf{V}_{0} \cdot \mathbf{U}\right)+\frac{1-\cos \theta}{Q}\left(\left(\mathbf{H} \times \mathbf{V}_{0}\right) \cdot \mathbf{U}\right) \\
& +\frac{\theta-\sin \theta}{Q}\left(\mathbf{H} \cdot \mathbf{V}_{0}\right) \cdot(\mathbf{H} \cdot \mathbf{U}),
\end{aligned}
$$

The first task: track propagation

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial x_{\perp}}{\partial \phi_{0}}= & \cos \lambda_{0}\left\{\frac{\sin \theta}{Q}\left(\mathbf{U}_{0} \cdot \mathbf{U}\right)+\frac{1-\cos \theta}{Q}\left(\left(\mathbf{H} \times \mathbf{U}_{0}\right) \cdot \mathbf{U}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{\theta-\sin \theta}{Q}\left(\mathbf{H} \cdot \mathbf{U}_{0}\right) \cdot(\mathbf{H} \cdot \mathbf{U})\right\}, \\
\frac{\partial x_{\perp}}{\partial x_{\perp 0}}= & \mathbf{U}_{0} \cdot \mathbf{U}, \\
\frac{\partial x_{\perp}}{\partial y_{\perp 0}}= & \mathbf{V}_{0} \cdot \mathbf{U}, \\
\frac{\partial y_{\perp}}{\partial\left(q / p_{0}\right)}= & \left(\frac{q}{p}\right)^{-1}\left[\mathbf{V} \cdot\left(\mathbf{M}_{0}-\mathbf{M}\right)\right], \\
\frac{\partial y_{\perp}}{\partial \lambda_{0}}= & \frac{\sin \theta}{Q}\left(\mathbf{V}_{0} \cdot \mathbf{V}\right)+\frac{1-\cos \theta}{Q}\left(\left(\mathbf{H} \times \mathbf{V}_{0}\right) \cdot \mathbf{V}\right) \\
& +\frac{\theta-\sin \theta}{Q}\left(\mathbf{H} \cdot \mathbf{V}_{0}\right) \cdot(\mathbf{H} \cdot \mathbf{V}), \\
\frac{\partial y_{\perp}}{\partial \phi_{0}}= & \cos \lambda_{0}\left\{\frac{\sin \theta}{Q}\left(\mathbf{U}_{0} \cdot \mathbf{V}\right)+\frac{1-\cos \theta}{Q}\left(\left(\mathbf{H} \times \mathbf{U}_{0}\right) \cdot \mathbf{V}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{\theta-\sin \theta}{Q}\left(\mathbf{H} \cdot \mathbf{U}_{0}\right) \cdot(\mathbf{H} \cdot \mathbf{V})\right\}, \\
\frac{\partial y_{\perp}}{\partial x_{\perp 0}}= & \mathbf{U}_{0} \cdot \mathbf{V}, \\
\frac{\partial y_{\perp}}{\partial y_{\perp 0}}= & \mathbf{V}_{0} \cdot \mathbf{V},
\end{aligned}
$$

ns numerically stable at small values of $\theta$ are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial x_{\perp}}{\partial\left(q / p_{0}\right)}= & -\frac{1}{2}|\mathbf{B}| s^{2} \cdot\left(\mathbf{H} \times \mathbf{T}_{0}\right) \cdot \mathbf{U}+\frac{1}{3}|\mathbf{B}|^{2} s^{3} \cdot \frac{q}{p} \cdot\left(\gamma \mathbf{H}-\mathbf{T}_{0}\right) \cdot \mathbf{U} \\
& +\frac{1}{8}|\mathbf{B}|^{3} s^{4} \cdot\left(\frac{q}{p}\right)^{2} \cdot\left(\mathbf{H} \times \mathbf{T}_{0}\right) \cdot \mathbf{U}, \\
\frac{\partial y_{\perp}}{\partial\left(q / p_{0}\right)}= & -\frac{1}{2}|\mathbf{B}| s^{2} \cdot\left(\mathbf{H} \times \mathbf{T}_{0}\right) \cdot \mathbf{V}+\frac{1}{3}|\mathbf{B}|^{2} s^{3} \cdot \frac{q}{p} \cdot\left(\gamma \mathbf{H}-\mathbf{T}_{0}\right) \cdot \mathbf{V} \\
& +\frac{1}{8}|\mathbf{B}|^{3} s^{4} \cdot\left(\frac{q}{p}\right)^{2} \cdot\left(\mathbf{H} \times \mathbf{T}_{0}\right) \cdot \mathbf{V} .
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \qquad \boldsymbol{\sigma}^{2}\left(l_{2}\right)=\boldsymbol{T}\left(l_{2}, l_{1}\right) \boldsymbol{\sigma}^{2}\left(l_{1}\right) \boldsymbol{T}^{T}\left(l_{2}, l_{1}\right)+\boldsymbol{W}^{-1}\left(l_{1}\right) \\
& \text { The jacobian transports the erros } \\
& \text { from one step to another }
\end{aligned}
$$

Here, at each step, multiple scattering and energy loss effects have to be added

On the quantitative modelling of core and tails of multiple scattering by Gaussian mixtures

## R. Frühwirth*, M. Regler

Institut für Hochenergiephysik der Ostenreichischen Akademie der Wissenschaften, Nikolsdorfer Gasse 18, A-1050 Wien, Austria
Received 25 April 2000; accepted 30 May 2000

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d} \sigma}{\mathrm{~d} \theta}=2 \pi\left(\frac{2 Z e^{2}}{p v}\right)^{2} \frac{\theta}{\left(\theta^{2}+\theta_{\min }^{2}\right)^{2}} . \longrightarrow f(\theta)=\frac{k \theta}{\left(\theta^{2}+\theta_{\min }^{2}\right)^{2}} I_{\left[0, \theta_{\max }\right.}(\theta)
$$

There is no simple closed form for the cumulative distribution function of the projected scattering angle. For the simulation one therefore has to go back to the scattering angle $\theta$ in space, which can be generated by inverting its cumulative distribution function:
$\theta=a b \sqrt{\frac{1-u}{u b^{2}+a^{2}}}$
where $u$ is a stochastic variable with a uniform distribution in the interval $[0,1]$. If $\varphi$ is uniform in

## Multiple scattering



Fig. 3. The density of the projected multiple scattering angle in carbon, in standard measure, for $N=2^{10}$ (top) and $N=2^{20}$ (bottom). The dots are the frequencies of a simulated sample obtained by summing over single scatters. The dotted line is the density of a standard Gaussian.

## Multiple scattering

Molière's final solution $f_{\mathrm{M}}(\theta) \theta \mathrm{d} \theta$ of the transport equation is given in space, using the transformation
$f(\theta) \mathrm{d} \theta=f_{\mathrm{M}}(\theta) \mathrm{d}(\cos \theta) \mathrm{d} \varphi / 2 \pi$
and the approximation $|\mathrm{d}(\cos \theta)|=\sin \theta \mathrm{d} \theta \approx \theta \mathrm{d} \theta$. In his solution the function $f_{\mathrm{M}}(\theta)$ is approximated by
$f_{\mathrm{M}}(\theta) \approx \frac{1}{2 \theta_{\mathrm{M}}^{2}}\left[f^{(0)}\left(\theta^{\prime}\right)+\frac{f^{(1)}\left(\theta^{\prime}\right)}{B}+\frac{f^{(2)}\left(\theta^{\prime}\right)}{B^{2}}\right]$
where $\theta_{\mathrm{M}}$ is the characteristic multiple scattering angle of the target, $\theta^{\prime}=\theta /\left(\sqrt{2} \theta_{\mathrm{M}}\right)$ is the reduced angle, and $B$ is related to the logarithm of the effective number of collisions in the target. The functions $f^{(k)}$ are given by
$f^{(k)}\left(\theta^{\prime}\right)=\frac{1}{n!} \int_{0}^{\infty} y J_{0}\left(\theta^{\prime} y\right) \mathrm{e}^{-y^{2} / 4\left(\frac{y^{2}}{4} \ln \frac{y^{2}}{4}\right)^{k} \mathrm{~d} y .}$


## Multiple scattering

$$
<\theta_{p}^{2}>, \quad<x^{2}>=\frac{<\theta_{p}^{2}>d^{2}}{3}, \quad<x, \theta_{p}>=\frac{<\theta_{p}^{2}>d}{2}
$$

$$
p\left(x, \theta_{p} ; d\right)=\frac{2 \sqrt{3}}{\pi} \frac{1}{<\theta_{p}^{2}>d^{2}} \exp \left[-\frac{4}{<\theta_{p}^{2}>}\left(\frac{\theta_{p}^{2}}{d}-\frac{3 x \theta_{p}}{d^{2}}+\frac{3 x^{2}}{d^{3}}\right)\right]
$$

$$
\left\langle\theta_{p}^{2}\right\rangle=\frac{(0.0136)^{2} d}{p^{2} \beta^{2} X_{0}}\left[1+0.038 \ln \left(\frac{d}{X_{0}}\right)\right]^{2} \quad \text { PDG: wrong }
$$

$$
\left\langle\theta_{p}^{2}\right\rangle=\frac{184.96 \cdot 10^{-6}}{p^{2}} \frac{d}{\beta^{2} X_{0}} . \quad \text { GEANE }
$$

$$
<\theta_{p}^{2}>=\frac{225 \cdot 10^{-6}}{p^{2}} \frac{d}{\beta^{2} X_{s}}, \quad X_{s}=X_{0} \frac{Z+1}{Z} \frac{\ln \left(287 Z^{-1 / 2}\right)}{\ln \left(159 Z^{-1 / 3}\right)}
$$

R. Frühwirth and M. Regler, Nucl. Instr. and Meth. A456(2001)369

## $\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{2}\left(l_{2}\right)=\boldsymbol{T}\left(l_{2}, l_{1}\right) \boldsymbol{\sigma}^{2}\left(l_{1}\right) \boldsymbol{T}^{T}\left(l_{2}, l_{1}\right)+\boldsymbol{W}^{-1}\left(l_{1}\right)$

$$
\begin{gathered}
d z_{\perp}=l d \lambda, \quad d y_{\perp}=l / \cos \lambda d \phi \\
\lambda \equiv-\theta_{z}, \quad \phi \equiv \frac{\theta_{y}}{\cos \lambda}, \quad \downarrow \equiv y, \quad z_{\perp} \equiv z \\
<t_{i}, t_{j}>=\sum_{l m} \frac{\partial t_{i}}{\partial s_{l}} \frac{\partial t_{j}}{\partial s_{m}}<s_{l}, s_{m}> \\
\lambda \quad \phi \quad \mathbf{z}_{\perp}
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1 / \mathrm{p} \\
& \lambda \\
& \phi \\
& \mathrm{y}_{\perp} \\
& \mathbf{z}_{\perp}\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \left\langle\theta_{p}^{2}\right\rangle & 0 & 0 & -\frac{\left\langle\theta_{p}^{2}\right\rangle \mathrm{d} l}{2} \\
0 & 0 & \frac{\left\langle\theta_{p}^{2}\right\rangle}{\cos ^{2} \lambda} & \frac{\left\langle\theta_{p}^{2}\right\rangle \mathrm{d} l}{(2 \cos \lambda)} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \frac{\left\langle\theta_{p}^{2}\right\rangle \mathrm{d} l}{(2 \cos \lambda)} & \frac{\left\langle\theta_{p}^{2}\right\rangle(\mathrm{d} l)^{2}}{3} & \\
0 & -\frac{\left\langle\theta_{p}^{2}\right\rangle \mathrm{d} l}{2} & 0 & 0 & \frac{\left\langle\theta_{p}^{2}\right\rangle(\mathrm{d} l)^{2}}{3}
\end{array}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Energy loss

The fluctuations in ionization for one particle of charge $z$, mass $m$, velocity $\beta$, are characterized by the parameter $\kappa$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\kappa=\frac{\xi}{E_{\max }}, \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is proportional to the ratio of mean energy loss to the maximum allowed energy transfer $E_{\text {max }}$ in a single collision with an atomic electron:

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{\max }=\frac{2 m_{e} \beta^{2} \gamma^{2}}{1+2 \gamma m_{e} / m+\left(m_{e} / m\right)^{2}} \tag{61}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\gamma=1 / \sqrt{1-\beta^{2}}=E / m$ and $m_{e}$ is the electron mass. The parameter $\xi$ comes from the Rutherford scattering cross section and is defined as [11]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi=153.4 \frac{z^{2} Z}{\beta^{2} A} \rho d \quad(\mathrm{keV}) \tag{62}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\rho, d, Z$ and $A$ are the density $\left(\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}\right)$, thickness, atomic and mass number of the medium.

Average energy loss
$\left\langle\frac{d E}{d x}\right\rangle=-4 \pi N_{A} r_{e}^{2} m_{e} c^{2} Z^{2} \frac{Z}{A} \frac{1}{\beta^{2}}\left[\frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{2 m_{e} c^{2} \gamma^{2} \beta^{2}}{I^{2}} T^{\text {max }}-\beta^{2}-\frac{\delta}{2}\right]$
Fluctuations in energy loss

$$
\mathrm{k}=\frac{\xi}{\mathrm{E}_{\max }}=\frac{\text { average energy loss }}{\max \text { energy loss in a single collision }}
$$

| $\left.\begin{array}{ll}\mathrm{k}>10 \\ 0.01<\mathrm{k}<10 & \text { Gaussian } \\ \text { Vavilov }\end{array}\right\}$ | $\sigma^{2}=\xi \mathrm{E}_{\max }\left(1-\frac{\beta^{2}}{2}\right) \Rightarrow \sigma^{2}\left(\frac{1}{\mathrm{p}}\right) \Rightarrow \sigma_{11}^{2}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{k}<0.01 ; \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{c}}>50$ | Landau |
| $\mathrm{k}<0.01 ; \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{c}}<50$ | Sub-Landau $\sigma$ are infinite !! $\sigma$ is too large!! |

Gauss and Vavilov: no problems for the track follower


$$
\sigma^{2} \boldsymbol{C}_{=}^{\sim}=\xi \mathrm{E}_{\max }\left(1-\frac{\beta^{2}}{2}\right) \Rightarrow \sigma^{2}\left(\frac{1}{\mathrm{p}}\right) \Rightarrow \sigma_{11}^{2}
$$

GEANE
Landau: problematic distribution


Sub-Landau: what distribution?

Gauss and Vavilov: no problems for the track follower

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma^{2}(E)=\frac{\xi^{2}}{\kappa}\left(1-\beta^{2} / 2\right)=\xi E_{\max }\left(1-\beta^{2} / 2\right) . \tag{63}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking into account the energy-momentum equation

$$
E^{2}=p^{2}+m^{2} \rightarrow \frac{\mathrm{dp}}{\mathrm{dE}}=\frac{E}{p}=\frac{1}{\beta},
$$

and the error transformation

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sigma^{2}(1 / p)=\left[\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{dp}}\left(\frac{1}{p}\right)\right]^{2} \sigma^{2}(p)=\frac{1}{p^{4}} \sigma^{2}(p)=\frac{E^{2}}{p^{6}} \sigma^{2}(E) \\
& \text { GEANE and GEANT4E contain only this }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Improvements

- New error calculation in energy loss for heavy particles
- New error calculation for bremsstrahlung


## Truncated Landau:

| $\lambda_{\max }$ | $\alpha$ | Mean | $\sigma_{\alpha}$ |
| ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 11.1 | 0.90 | 1.61 | 2.83 |
| 22.4 | 0.95 | 2.40 | 4.23 |
| 110.0 | 0.99 | 4.19 | 10.16 |
| 200.0 | 0.995 | 4.82 | 13.88 |
| 256.0 | 0.996 | 5.08 | 15.76 |
| 339.0 | 0.997 | 5.37 | 18.19 |
| 507.0 | 0.998 | 5.78 | 22.33 |
| 1007.0 | 0.999 | 6.48 | 31.59 |

Table 1: Result of the integration $\alpha=\int_{\lambda_{\min }}^{\lambda_{\max }} f(\lambda) \mathrm{d} \lambda$ of the Landau distribution from $\lambda_{\min } \simeq-3.5$ to $\lambda_{\max }$ of the table. The mean and the standard deviation of the truncated distribution are also shown. For this distribution. the full mean and the variance are infinite, only the cumulative can be calculated
Solution (GEANT3 \& GEANT4): truncation of the distribution tail to have as a mean the average $d E / d x$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda_{\max }= & 0.60715+1.1934\langle\lambda\rangle+ \\
& (0.67794+0.052382\langle\lambda\rangle) \exp (0.94753+0.74442\langle\lambda\rangle)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Original GEANE

## GEANE for PANDA modified with the the $\alpha$-tail



Figure 10: Pull distribution $\Delta(1 / p) / \sigma$ for 1 GeV muons after passing through the PANDA straw tube detector. Left: Standard GEANE result (RMS $\simeq 0.3$ in the displayed window); right: result after the modification with $\alpha=0.995$ (see the text). The region between the vertical lines has $\mathrm{RMS}=1.03$.


## Thin gaseous absorbers: The Urban distribution

- excitation macroscopic cross sections $\Sigma_{1}$ and $\Sigma_{2}$ :

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Sigma_{i}=C \frac{f_{i}}{E_{i}} \ln \left(2 m \beta^{2} \gamma^{2} / e_{i}\right)-\beta^{2} \\
\ln \left(2 m \beta^{2} \gamma^{2} / I\right)-\beta^{2} \\
I=16 Z^{0.9}(\mathrm{eV}), \quad f_{2}=\left\{\begin{array}{r}
0 \text { if } Z \leq 2 \\
2 / Z \text { if } Z>2
\end{array}, \quad f_{1}=1-f_{2}\right. \\
e_{2}=10 Z^{2}(\mathrm{eV}), \quad e_{1}=\left(\frac{I}{e_{2}^{f_{2}}}\right)^{1 / f_{1}}, r=0.4, \quad C=\frac{E_{\mathrm{med}}}{\Delta x},
\end{gathered}
$$

and $E_{\text {med }} \equiv(\mathrm{d} E / \mathrm{d} x) \cdot \Delta x$ is the energy lost in the absorber of thickness $\Delta x ;$

- ionization macroscopic cross section $\Sigma_{3}$ :

$$
\Sigma_{3}=C \frac{E_{\max }}{I\left(E_{\max }+I\right) \ln \left(\left(E_{\max }+I\right) / I\right)} r
$$

- number of total collisions $N_{c}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{c}=\left(\Sigma_{1}+\Sigma_{2}+\Sigma_{3}\right) \Delta x=N_{1}+N_{2}+N_{3} . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
E=\left(\Sigma_{1} e_{1}+\Sigma_{2} e_{2}+\Sigma_{3} E_{3}\right) \Delta x=N_{1} e_{1}+N_{2} e_{2}+N_{3} E_{3}, \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $e_{1}$ and $e_{2}$ are the two fixed excitation energies of the model and $E_{3}$ is the energy lost by $\delta$-electron emission. This is a stochastic quantity that follows approximately the distribution [?]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta \text {-ray tail } E_{3} \sim g(E) \text { where } g(E)=\frac{I\left(E_{\max }+I\right)}{E_{\max }} \frac{1}{E^{2}}, \quad I<E<E_{\max }+I . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

In GEANT3 and GEANT4 the energy $E$ is obtained by eq. (9) by sampling $N_{1}, N_{2}$ and $N_{3}$ from the Poisson distribution and $E_{3}$ from $g(E)$.

Therefore, the sampling of the excitation energy is

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{e}=N_{1} e_{1}+N_{2} e_{2}, \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $E_{1}$ and $E_{2}$ are consant and $N_{1}, N_{2}$ are sample from the Poisson distribution, whereas the delta ray ionization energy is sampled as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{i}=\sum_{j=1}^{N_{3}} \frac{I}{1-u\left(E_{\max } /\left(E_{\max }+I\right)\right)} . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Truncation of the Urban tail of distribution

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{I\left(E_{\max }+I\right)}{E \max } \int_{I}^{E_{\alpha}} \frac{1}{E^{2}} \mathrm{~d} E=\frac{\left(E_{\max }+I\right)}{E_{\max }} \frac{E_{\alpha}-I}{E_{\alpha}}=\alpha \\
& \rightarrow E_{\alpha}=\frac{I}{1-\alpha E_{\max } /\left(E_{\max }+I\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

The mean and variance of the truncated distribution are:

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle E_{3}\right\rangle & =\frac{I\left(E_{\max }+I\right)}{E_{\max }} \int_{I}^{E_{\alpha}} \frac{1}{E} \mathrm{~d} E=\frac{I\left(E_{\max }+I\right)}{E_{\max }} \ln \left(\frac{E_{\alpha}}{I}\right), \\
\left\langle E_{3}^{2}\right\rangle & =\frac{I\left(E_{\max }+I\right)}{E_{\max }} \int_{I}^{E_{\alpha}} \mathrm{d} E=\frac{I\left(E_{\max }+I\right)}{E_{\max }}\left(E_{\alpha}-I\right), \\
\sigma_{\alpha}^{2}\left(E_{3}\right) & \left.=\left\langle E_{3}^{2}\right\rangle-<E_{3}\right\rangle^{2} . \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

Then, the error propagation applied to eq. (9), where a random sum is present, where $N_{1}, N_{2}, N_{3}$ and $E_{3}$ are random variables, gives:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma^{2}(E)=\left\langle N_{1}\right\rangle e_{1}^{2}+\left\langle N_{2}\right\rangle e_{2}^{2}+\left\langle N_{3}\right\rangle\left\langle E_{3}\right\rangle^{2}+\sigma^{2}\left[E_{3}\right]\left\langle N_{3}\right\rangle \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

# Is the Urban distribution a good model? 

Comparison with an "exact" model in the case of a thin gas layer

SECONDARY AND TOTAL IONIZATION CLUSTERS AND DELTA ELECTRONS:

MIP particle:
Argon

Cluster/cm 26
35
Effective cluster/cm: $n_{\text {MIP }} d E / d x /(d E / d x)_{\text {min }}$ $N$ : total ion-electron pairs $\quad N / n \sim 2.8$

CLUSTER SIZE DISTRIBUTION:


## Urban model works well



Figure 2: Urban and simulated distribution
1.5 cm of $\mathrm{Ar} / \mathrm{CO} 290 / 10 \quad 1.2 \mathrm{GeV}$ pions

In summary, our method calculates the $1 / p$ variance of eq. (5) with a variance $\sigma^{2}(E)$ due to the ionization energy loss calculated as follows:
a) for big and moderate absorbers when $\kappa>0.01$, the variance $\sigma^{2}(E)$ is given by eq. (4) (old GEANE method);
b) for thin absorbers, $\kappa<0.01$, when the number of collisions from eq. (10) is $N_{c}>50, \sigma^{2}(E)$ is given by eq. (9);
c) for very thin absorbers, when $\kappa<0.01$ and $N_{c}<50$, the variance $\sigma^{2}(E)$ is given by eq. (17).

The matching between Urban and Landau is obtained for $\delta=0.9999$

Delta vc RMS - 1 Argon Plane
Delta vc RMS - 10Argon Plane




Figure 4: Values of the standard deviations of the $1 / p$ pull variable with truncation parameter $\delta=0.9999$ from eq. (15), as a function of the number of the traversed layers. The data refer to 1 Gev pions traversing layers formed by a 1 mm thick Al (Landau distribution) and a 1 cm thick $A r$ gas (Urban distribution) absorbers at NTP.

## Bremsstrahlung

The radiative energy loss straggling distribution for the energy $E$ of a particle of incident energy $E_{0}$ on an absorber of thickness $x$, was first deduced by Heitler [28], using an approximate expression for the bremsstrahlung cross section:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(E)=\frac{1}{E_{0} \Gamma(l)}\left(\ln \frac{E_{0}}{E}\right)^{l-1}, \quad l=\frac{x}{X_{0} \ln 2}, \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $X_{0}$ is the radiation length of the absorber and $\Gamma$ is the gamma function.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\langle E\rangle & =E_{0} \frac{1}{2^{l}}, \quad\left\langle E^{2}\right\rangle=E_{0}^{2} \frac{1}{3^{l}} \\
\sigma^{2}[E] & =\left\langle E^{2}\right\rangle-\langle E\rangle^{2}=E_{0}^{2}\left(\frac{1}{3^{l}}-\frac{1}{4^{l}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Bremsstrahlung

| absorber | energy | Heitler equation |  | GEANT3 |  | GEANT4 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $(\mathrm{GeV})$ | $\mu$ | $\sigma$ | $\mu$ | $\sigma$ | $\mu$ | $\sigma$ |
| $10 \mathrm{~cm} A r$ | 0.5 | 0.4995 | 0.0097 | 0.4995 | 0.0097 | 0.4995 | 0.0105 |
| $10 \mathrm{~cm} A r$ | 1.0 | 0.9991 | 0.0194 | 0.9991 | 0.0198 | 0.9991 | 0.0203 |
| 1 cm Al | 0.5 | 0.447 | 0.098 | 0.444 | 0.100 | 0.444 | 0.098 |
| 1 cm Al | 1.0 | 0.894 | 0.195 | 0.891 | 0.203 | 0.891 | 0.201 |
| 1 cm Al | 10 | 9.01 | 1.95 | 8.96 | 2.04 | 8.95 | 2.06 |

Table 2: comparison between the mean energy $\mu$ and standard deviation $\sigma(\mathrm{MeV})$ from the the GEANT3 and GEANT4 simulated distributions relative to $10^{5}$ electrons and from the Heitler formula after passing some absorbers.

## Bremsstrahlung

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma[1 / E] & =0.5\left[1 / E_{2}, 1 / E_{1}\right], \quad \text { where } \\
E_{2} & =\operatorname{Min}\left(E_{0},\langle E\rangle+\sigma[E]\right), \\
E_{1} & = \begin{cases}\langle E\rangle-\sigma[E] \text { if } E_{2}=\langle E\rangle+\sigma[E] \\
E_{0}-2 \sigma[E] \text { if } E_{2}=E_{0}\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

```
Total 1/p pull distribution
```







Pull


Figure 11: Pull distributions of the 5 track parameters in the case of 2 GeV muons that have passed through the whole detector, just before the PANDA

## A Bayesian technique: The KALMAN filter

Consider the well-known weighted mean:


$$
\chi^{2}(\mu)=\frac{\left(x_{1}-\mu\right)^{2}}{\sigma_{1}^{2}}+\frac{\left(x_{2}-\mu\right)^{2}}{\sigma_{2}^{2}}, \quad \frac{\partial \chi^{2}(\mu)}{\partial \mu}=0 \Rightarrow \mu=\frac{\frac{x_{1}}{\sigma_{1}^{2}}+\frac{x_{2}}{\sigma_{2}^{2}}}{\frac{1}{\sigma_{1}^{2}}+\frac{1}{\sigma_{2}^{2}}}
$$

A simple algebraic manipulation gives the recursive form:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mu=\frac{\frac{x_{1}}{\sigma_{1}^{2}}+\frac{x_{2}}{\sigma_{2}^{2}}}{\frac{1}{\sigma_{1}^{2}}+\frac{1}{\sigma_{2}^{2}}}=\frac{\sigma_{1}^{2} \sigma_{2}^{2}}{\sigma_{1}^{2}+\sigma_{2}^{2}}\left(\frac{x_{1}}{\sigma_{1}^{2}}+\frac{x_{2}}{\sigma_{2}^{2}}\right)=\frac{x_{1} \sigma_{2}^{2}+x_{2} \sigma_{1}^{2}}{\sigma_{1}^{2}+\sigma_{2}^{2}}=x_{1}+\frac{\sigma_{1}^{2}}{\sigma_{1}^{2}+\sigma_{2}^{2}} \\
\begin{array}{l}
\text { Kalman= the measurement is weighted } \\
\text { with a model prediction (track following) prediction }
\end{array}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Example: Radar Applications



In a radar application, where one is interested in following a target, information about the location, speed, and acceleration of the target is measured at different moments in time with corruption by noise.

State vector


December 21, 1968. The Apollo 8 spacecraft has just been sent on its way to the Moon.


## The original idea is very simple

When $m$ is measured at $t_{2}$ and $x\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)$ is the prediction from $t_{1}$ to $t_{2}$, the best evaluation of $x$ at $t_{2}$ is

This is called the Kalman filter recursive form

$$
x\left(t_{2}\right)=m+\frac{\sigma_{m}^{2}}{\sigma_{m}^{2}+\sigma_{2}^{2}}\left(x\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)-m\right.
$$

## Extrapolation, filtering and smoothing



## Track propagation: physical effects

## Multiple scattering

Energy loss straggling






Tracking with Kalman

The best estimate of the track is given by minimizing w.r.t the $f$ variables:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi^{2}(f)=\sum_{i}\left[\left(e_{i}\left[f_{i-1}\right]-f_{i}\right) \boldsymbol{W}_{i-1}\left(e_{i}\left[f_{i-1}\right]-f_{i}\right)\right]+\left(x_{i}-f_{i}\right) \boldsymbol{V}_{i}\left(x_{i}-f_{i}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note the $\boldsymbol{W}$ matrix associated to $e_{i}$ because the extrapolation start from the true point.

## Tracking with Kalman

The minimization gives:

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial \chi^{2}}{\partial f_{i}}= & \boldsymbol{W}_{i-1, i}\left(e_{i}\left[f_{i-1}\right]-f_{i}\right)+\boldsymbol{V}\left(x_{i}-f_{i}\right)  \tag{2}\\
& +\boldsymbol{T}_{i, i+1} \boldsymbol{W}_{i, i+1}\left(e_{i+1}\left[f_{i}\right]-f_{i+1}\right)=0
\end{align*}
$$

where the last (extra) term comes from the extrapolation procedure (tracker).
The best way to solve
eq (2) is the Kalman algorithm
(Kalman, 1961). It is based on three steps:
V. Innocente and E. Nagy, NIM A324(1993)297
(see their sect. 4.3. Correct their eq. below the (34) one with our eq.(9)).

## - EXTRAPOLATION: calculation of

 $e_{i}$ and $\boldsymbol{W}$. Deterministic step made by the tracker.$$
\begin{align*}
e_{i} & =\boldsymbol{G}_{i-1, i}\left[k_{i-1}\right]  \tag{3}\\
\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{2}\left[e_{i}\right] & =\boldsymbol{T}_{i-1, i} \boldsymbol{\sigma}^{2}\left[k_{i-1}\right] \boldsymbol{T}_{i-1, i}^{T}+\boldsymbol{W}_{i-1, i}^{-1} \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

Square brackets mean function argument
$e_{i}=$ EXTRAP. extrapolation
$k_{i}=$ result of the Kalman filter
$\boldsymbol{T}_{i-1, i}=$ EXTRAP. transport matrix
$\boldsymbol{\sigma}(k)^{2}=$ Kalman error matrix
$\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{2}\left[e_{i}\right]=$ EXTRAP. error matrix
$\boldsymbol{W}_{i-1, i}=$ EXTRAP. energy loss and multiple scattering weight matrix
$\boldsymbol{W}_{i-1, i}^{-1}=$ covariance matrix inverse of $W$

- FILTERING: minimizes the first two terms of eq. (2). It is simply the weighted mean;

$$
\begin{align*}
k_{i} & =\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{2}\left[k_{i}\right]\left(\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{-2}\left[e_{i}\right] e_{i}+\boldsymbol{V}_{i} x_{i}\right)  \tag{5}\\
\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{-2}\left[k_{i}\right] & =\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{-2}\left[e_{i}\right]+\boldsymbol{V}_{i} \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

$x_{i}=$ measured points
$k_{i}=$ Kalman average value
$\boldsymbol{\sigma}(k)^{2}=$ Kalman error matrix
$\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{2}(e)=$ EXTRAP. error matrix

$$
\mu=\frac{\frac{x_{1}}{\sigma_{1}^{2}}+\frac{x_{2}}{\sigma_{2}^{2}}}{\frac{1}{\sigma_{1}^{2}}+\frac{1}{\sigma_{2}^{2}}}
$$

$V=$ original weight matrix of the measured points

- SMOOTHING: necessary to minimize a $\chi^{2}$ in the presence of the extrapolation term (last term in eq. (2)).

$$
\begin{align*}
f_{i} & =k_{i}+\boldsymbol{A}_{i}\left(f_{i+1}-e_{i+1}\right)  \tag{7}\\
\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{2}\left[f_{i}\right] & =\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{2}\left[k_{i}\right]+\boldsymbol{A}_{i}\left(\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{2}\left[f_{i+1}\right]-\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{2}\left[e_{i+1}\right]\right) \boldsymbol{A}_{i}^{T}  \tag{8}\\
\boldsymbol{A}_{i} & =\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{2}\left[k_{i}\right] \boldsymbol{T}_{i, i+1}^{T} \boldsymbol{\sigma}^{-2}\left[e_{i+1}\right] \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

$f_{i}=$ final average value $\boldsymbol{\sigma}(k)^{2}=$ Kalman error matrix $\boldsymbol{\sigma}^{2}(e)=$ EXTRAP. error matrix

## Track fitting tools

1. the GEANT3-GEANE old chain:

The mathematics is that of Wittek (EMC Collaboration)
The tracking banks and routines are the same as in MC.
The user gives the starting and ending
planes or volumes and the tracking is done automatically.
It works very well (see the CERN Report W5013 GEANE, 1991).
2. "Modern" experiments:
in the software are implemented some tracking classes:
input: $x_{i}, T_{i}, \sigma_{i}$, step, medium, magnetic field
output: new $x_{i}, T_{i}, \sigma_{i}$
the user has to manage geometry, medium and detector interface
3. A GEANT4-GEANT4E chain there exists in the new GEANT Root framework.
It is used by CMS but is not included into the official releases (see Pedro Arce's talks in the Web)

