

"transverse thinking"; an introduction to TMDs

Alessandro Bacchetta



Preliminary plan

- Introduction
- Semi-inclusive DIS
- Theory of TMDs 1 (tree-level definition, interpretation, gauge link)
- Theory of TMDs 2 (high pT, resummation, evolution)
- Phenomenology of unpolarized SIDIS
- Phenomenology of polarized SIDIS

"transverse thinking"; an introduction to TMDs

Part 2: Semi-inclusive DIS (SIDIS)

Deep inelastic scattering

 $\ell(l) + N(P) \to \ell(l') + h(P_h) + X,$



A.B., D'Alesio, Diehl, Miller, PRD70 (04)

Inclusive DIS

$$\frac{d^3\sigma}{dx_B dy d\phi_S} = \frac{\alpha^2 y}{2 Q^4} L_{\mu\nu}(l, l', \lambda_e) 2M W^{\mu\nu}(q, P, S)$$



Single-photon-exchange approximation

Inclusive DIS



$$\begin{split} L_{\mu\nu} &= -Q^2 g_{\mu\nu} + 2 \left(l_{\mu} l_{\nu}' + l_{\mu}' l_{\nu} \right) \\ &= \frac{2Q^2}{y^2} \left[-\left(1 - y + \frac{y^2}{2} \right) g_{\perp\mu\nu} + 2(1 - y) \, \hat{t}_{\mu} \hat{t}_{\nu} \right. \\ &+ 2(1 - y) \left(\hat{l}_{\perp\mu} \hat{l}_{\perp\nu} + \frac{1}{2} g_{\perp\mu\nu} \right) + \ldots \right] \end{split}$$

Lepton tensor

$$\begin{split} q^{\mu} &= \left(0, \ 0, \ 0, \ Q\right) \\ l^{\mu} &= \left(\frac{(2-y)Q}{2y}, \ \frac{\sqrt{1-y}Q}{y}, \ 0, \ \frac{Q}{2}\right) \\ l'^{\mu} &= \left(\frac{(2-y)Q}{2y}, \ \frac{\sqrt{1-y}Q}{y}, \ 0, \ -\frac{Q}{2}\right) \end{split}$$

$$L_{\mu\nu} = \frac{2Q^2}{y^2} \begin{pmatrix} 2(1-y) & \dots & 0 & 0\\ \dots & \left(1-y+\frac{y^2}{2}\right) + (1-y) & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & \left(1-y+\frac{y^2}{2}\right) - (1-y) & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{\boldsymbol{x}}^{\boldsymbol{\mu}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0\\1\\0\\0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \qquad \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{\boldsymbol{y}}^{\boldsymbol{\mu}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0\\0\\1\\0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \qquad \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{L}^{\boldsymbol{\mu}} = \begin{pmatrix} 1\\0\\0\\0\\0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Structure functions

$$\frac{d^{3}\sigma}{dx_{B}dyd\phi_{S}} = \frac{\alpha^{2} y}{2Q^{4}} L_{\mu\nu}(l,l',\lambda_{e}) 2MW^{\mu\nu}(q,P,S)$$

$$F_{UU,T}(x,Q^{2})$$

$$2MW^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{x} \left[-g_{\perp}^{\mu\nu}F_{UU,T} + \hat{t}^{\mu}\hat{t}^{\nu}F_{UU,L} + iS_{L}\epsilon_{\perp}^{\mu\nu}F_{LL} - i\hat{t}^{[\mu}\epsilon_{\perp}^{\nu]\rho}S_{\rho}F_{LT}^{\cos\phi_{S}} \right]$$

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dx_{B}dyd\phi_{S}} = \frac{2\alpha^{2}}{x_{B}yQ^{2}} \left\{ \left(1 - y + \frac{y^{2}}{2}\right)F_{UU,T} + (1 - y)F_{UU,L} + S_{L}\lambda_{e}y\left(1 - \frac{y}{2}\right)F_{LL} + |S_{T}|\lambda_{e}y\sqrt{1 - y}\cos\phi_{S}F_{LT}^{\cos\phi_{s}} \right\}$$

see, e.g., A.B., Diehl, Goeke, Metz, Mulders, Schlegel, JHEP093 (07)

Connection with F_1 , F_2 , g_1 , and g_2

$$F_{UU,T} = 2x_B F_1,$$

$$F_{UU,L} = (1 + \gamma^2)F_2 - 2x_B F_1,$$

$$F_{LL} = 2x_B \left(g_1 - \gamma^2 g_2\right),$$

$$F_{LT}^{\cos\phi_S} = -2x_B\gamma \left(g_1 + g_2\right)$$

Beam direction vs virtual photon direction



$$S_L^{\gamma} = \cos\theta \, S_{\parallel}^e + \sin\theta \, |\boldsymbol{S}_{\perp}^e| \cos\psi,$$
$$|\boldsymbol{S}_T^{\gamma}| \cos\phi_S = \cos\theta \, |\boldsymbol{S}_{\perp}^e| \cos\psi - \sin\theta \, S_{\parallel}^e$$
$$|\boldsymbol{S}_T^{\gamma}| \sin\phi_S = |\boldsymbol{S}_{\perp}^e| \sin\psi$$

$$\cos \theta = \frac{1 + \gamma^2 y/2}{\sqrt{1 + \gamma^2}} = \frac{1 - (1 - y)\varepsilon}{\sqrt{1 - \varepsilon^2}},$$
$$\sin \theta = \gamma \sqrt{\frac{1 - y - \gamma^2 y^2/4}{1 + \gamma^2}} = \frac{\varepsilon y}{\sqrt{2\varepsilon(1 - \varepsilon)}}$$

Target mass corrections

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\sigma}{dx_B \, dy \, d\phi_S} &= \frac{2\alpha^2}{x_B y Q^2} \left\{ \left(1 - y + \frac{y^2}{2}\right) F_{UU,T} + (1 - y) F_{UU,L} + S_L \lambda_e \, y \left(1 - \frac{y}{2}\right) F_{LL} \right. \\ &+ \left| S_T \right| \lambda_e \, y \sqrt{1 - y} \, \cos \phi_S \, F_{LT}^{\cos \phi_S} \right\} \\ \frac{d\sigma}{dx_B \, dy \, d\psi} &= \frac{2\alpha^2}{x_B y Q^2} \frac{y^2}{2\left(1 - \varepsilon\right)} \left\{ F_{UU,T}(x_B, Q^2) + \varepsilon F_{UU,L}(x_B, Q^2) + S_{\parallel}^{\gamma} \lambda_e \, \sqrt{1 - \varepsilon^2} \, F_{LL}(x_B, Q^2) \right. \\ &+ \left| S_{\perp}^{\gamma} \right| \lambda_e \, \sqrt{2 \, \varepsilon (1 - \varepsilon)} \, \cos \phi_S \, F_{LT}^{\cos \phi_S}(x_B, Q^2) \right\}, \end{aligned}$$
$$\varepsilon &= \frac{1 - y - \gamma^2 y^2 / 4}{1 - y + y^2 / 2 + \gamma^2 y^2 / 4} \qquad \gamma^2 = \frac{4x^2 M^2}{Q^2} \end{aligned}$$

Parallel and perpendicular asymmetry

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dx_B \, dy \, d\psi} = \frac{2\alpha^2}{x_B y Q^2} \, \frac{y^2}{2\left(1-\varepsilon\right)} \, F_{UU,T} \left\{ 1 + \varepsilon R + S^e_{\parallel} \lambda_e \, A_{\parallel} - |S^e_{\perp}| \lambda_e \cos \psi \, A_{\perp} \right\}$$

$$A_{\parallel} = \frac{1}{F_{UU,T}(1+\varepsilon R)} \left[\cos\theta \sqrt{1-\varepsilon^2} F_{LL} - \sin\theta \sqrt{2\varepsilon(1-\varepsilon)} F_{LT}^{\cos\phi_S} \right]$$
$$A_{\perp} = -\frac{1}{F_{UU,T}(1+\varepsilon R)} \left[\cos\theta \sqrt{2\varepsilon(1-\varepsilon)} F_{LT}^{\cos\phi_S} + \sin\theta \sqrt{1-\varepsilon^2} F_{LL} \right]$$

$$A_{\parallel} = \frac{2(1-\varepsilon)}{F_{1} y (1+\varepsilon R)} \left[\left(1 - \frac{y}{2} - \frac{\gamma^{2} y^{2}}{4} \right) g_{1} - \frac{\gamma^{2} y}{2} g_{2} \right],$$
$$A_{\perp} = \frac{2(1-\varepsilon)}{F_{1} y (1+\varepsilon R)} \gamma \sqrt{1-y - \frac{\gamma^{2} y^{2}}{4}} \left[\frac{y}{2} g_{1} + g_{2} \right]$$

Extracting the structure functions

$$A_{\parallel} - \frac{\sin\theta}{\cos\theta} A_{\perp} = \frac{(1-\varepsilon)(2-y)}{y(1+\varepsilon R)(1+\gamma^2 y/2)} \frac{F_{LL}}{F_{UU,T}}$$

$$A_{\parallel} + \frac{\gamma y}{2\sqrt{1 - y - \gamma^2 y^2/4}} A_{\perp} = \frac{(1 - \varepsilon)(2 - y)}{y\left(1 + \varepsilon R\right)} \frac{g_1}{F_1}$$

Semi-inclusive DIS



Structure functions

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\sigma}{dx\,dy\,d\phi_{S}\,dz\,d\phi_{h}\,dP_{h\perp}^{2}} & F_{UU,T}(x,z,P_{h\perp}^{2},Q^{2}) \\ = \frac{\alpha^{2}}{x\,y\,Q^{2}}\frac{y^{2}}{2\left(1-\varepsilon\right)} \left\{ F_{UU,T}+\varepsilon\,F_{UU,L}+\sqrt{2\,\varepsilon(1+\varepsilon)}\,\cos\phi_{h}\,F_{UU}^{\cos\phi_{h}}+\varepsilon\cos(2\phi_{h})\,F_{UU}^{\cos2\phi_{h}} \\ &+\lambda_{e}\,\sqrt{2\,\varepsilon(1-\varepsilon)}\,\sin\phi_{h}\,F_{LU}^{\sin\phi_{h}}+S_{L}\left[\sqrt{2\,\varepsilon(1+\varepsilon)}\,\sin\phi_{h}\,F_{UL}^{\sin\phi_{h}}+\varepsilon\sin(2\phi_{h})\,F_{UL}^{\sin2\phi_{h}}\right] \\ &+S_{L}\,\lambda_{e}\left[\sqrt{1-\varepsilon^{2}}\,F_{LL}+\sqrt{2\,\varepsilon(1-\varepsilon)}\,\cos\phi_{h}\,F_{LL}^{\cos\phi_{h}}\right] \\ &+S_{T}\left[\sin(\phi_{h}-\phi_{S})\left(F_{UT,T}^{\sin(\phi_{h}-\phi_{S})}+\varepsilon\,F_{UT,L}^{\sin(\phi_{h}-\phi_{S})}\right)+\varepsilon\sin(\phi_{h}+\phi_{S})\,F_{UT}^{\sin(\phi_{h}+\phi_{S})} \\ &+\varepsilon\sin(3\phi_{h}-\phi_{S})\,F_{UT}^{\sin(3\phi_{h}-\phi_{S})}+\sqrt{2\,\varepsilon(1+\varepsilon)}\,\sin\phi_{S}\,F_{UT}^{\sin\phi_{S}} \\ &+\sqrt{2\,\varepsilon(1+\varepsilon)}\,\sin(2\phi_{h}-\phi_{S})\,F_{UT}^{\sin(2\phi_{h}-\phi_{S})}\right]+S_{T}\lambda_{e}\left[\sqrt{1-\varepsilon^{2}}\,\cos(\phi_{h}-\phi_{S})\,F_{LT}^{\cos(\phi_{h}-\phi_{S})} \\ &+\sqrt{2\,\varepsilon(1-\varepsilon)}\,\cos\phi_{S}\,F_{LT}^{\cos\phi_{S}}+\sqrt{2\,\varepsilon(1-\varepsilon)}\,\cos(2\phi_{h}-\phi_{S})\,F_{LT}^{\cos(2\phi_{h}-\phi_{S})}\right]\right\} \end{aligned}$$

see e.g. AB, Diehl, Goeke, Metz, Mulders, Schlegel, JHEP093 (07)

Q: Most of the time we do SIDIS integrated over transverse momentum, so why should we care?

A: Because acceptance is never perfect

Beware: azimuthal coverage in experiments



A = 1.3

 $A = 1.1, \quad B = 0.2$ $A = 1, \quad B = 0.2, \quad C = 0.1$

"transverse thinking"; an introduction to TMDs

Part 3: Theory of TMDs

"transverse thinking"; an introduction to TMDs

Part 3: Theory of TMDs (but first a review of collinear PDFs)

Inclusive DIS and collinear PDFs: Tree-level analysis

Light-cone vectors

 Q^2 much higher than any other scalar product



Correlation functions in DIS



$$\Phi_{ij}(p,P,S) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^4} \int d^4\xi \ e^{ip\cdot\xi} \langle P,S \big| \,\overline{\psi}_j(0) \,\psi_i(\xi) \,\big| P,S \rangle$$

The gauge link

Need of a gauge link

$$\Phi_{ij}(p,P,S) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^4} \int d^4\xi \ e^{ip\cdot\xi} \langle P,S \big| \,\overline{\psi}_j(0) \,\psi_i(\xi) \,\big| P,S \rangle$$

not invariant under $\psi(\xi) \rightarrow e^{i\alpha(\xi)} \psi(\xi)$

$$\Phi_{ij}(p,P,S) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^4} \int d^4\xi \; e^{ip \cdot \xi} \langle P, S | \, \bar{\psi}_j(0) U_{[0,\xi]} \psi_i(\xi) \, | P, S \rangle$$

$$U(\xi_1, \xi_2) \to e^{i\alpha(\xi_1)} U(\xi_1, \xi_2) e^{-i\alpha(\xi_2)}.$$

$$U_{[a,b]} = \mathcal{P} \exp\left[-ig \int_{a}^{b} d\eta^{\mu} A_{\mu}(\eta)\right]$$

Birth of the gauge link (Feynman gauge)



Ji, Yuan, PLB 543 (02); Belitsky, Ji, Yuan, NPB656 (03)

Birth of the gauge link



$$2MW^{(a)}_{\mu\nu} \sim \langle P, S | \overline{\psi}(0) \gamma_{\mu} \gamma^{+} \gamma_{\nu} (-ig) \int_{\infty^{-}}^{\xi^{-}} \mathrm{d}\eta^{-} A^{+}(\eta) \psi(\xi) | P, S \rangle$$

compare with:

$$2MW^{\mu\nu}(q,P,S) \approx \sum_{q} e_q^2 \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr} \left[\Phi(x_B,S) \gamma^{\mu} \gamma^+ \gamma^{\nu} \right].$$

$$\Phi^{(a)}(x,S) \sim \left\langle P,S \right| \overline{\psi}(0) \left(-ig\right) \int_{\infty^{-}}^{\xi^{-}} \mathrm{d}\eta^{-} A^{+}(\eta) \psi(\xi) \left| P,S \right\rangle$$



Shape of the gauge link

 $\Phi(x,S) \sim \left\langle P, S \right| \overline{\psi}(0) U_{[0,\infty^{-}]} U_{[\infty^{-},\xi^{-}]} \psi(\xi) \left| P, S \right\rangle$



Gauge link in Drell-Yan



Collins, PLB 536 (02)

To summarize

- The gauge link is an essential part of the PDFs
- It comes from final-state (or initial-state) interactions, appears at leading twist, can be factorized
- Light-cone gauges where A⁺=0 are appealing because the gauge link reduces to unity and we don't have to come to terms with final-state interactions

There's nothing special about the gauge link





(b)



There's nothing special about the gauge link



Correlation functions and PDFs

Correlation function

$$\Phi_{ij}(x,S) = \int \frac{d\xi^{-}}{2\pi} e^{ip\cdot\xi} \langle P, S \big| \,\overline{\psi}_{j}(0) \, U_{[0,\xi]} \,\psi_{i}(\xi) \, \big| P, S \rangle \bigg|_{\xi^{+} = \xi_{T} = 0}$$

Correlation function: decomposition

Available vectors

$$p, P, S, n_{-}$$

Available Dirac matrices 1,
$$\gamma_5$$
, γ^{μ} , $\gamma^{\mu}\gamma_5$, $i\sigma^{\mu\nu}\gamma_5$ $\sigma^{\mu\nu} \equiv \frac{i}{2} [\gamma^{\mu}, \gamma^{\nu}]$.

Constraints

Hermiticity:	$\Phi(p, P, S) = \gamma^0 \Phi^{\dagger}(p, P, S) \gamma^0,$	(1a)
parity:	$\Phi(p, P, S) = \gamma^0 \Phi(\tilde{p}, \tilde{P}, -\tilde{S}) \gamma^0$	(1b)

 $\tilde{p}^{\nu} = \delta^{\nu\mu} p_{\mu}$

see, e.g., Mulders, Tangerman, NPB 461 (96) Goeke, Metz, Schlegel, PLB 618 (05)

Unpolarized target

$$\begin{split} \Phi(p, P, S|n_{-}) &= MA_{1} + \not\!\!\!\!PA_{2} + \not\!\!\!\!pA_{3} + \frac{i}{2M} [\not\!\!P, \not\!\!p] A_{4} \\ &+ \frac{M^{2}}{P \cdot n_{-}} \not\!\!\!n_{-} B_{1} + \frac{iM}{2P \cdot n_{-}} [\not\!\!P, \not\!\!n_{-}] B_{2} + \frac{iM}{2P \cdot n_{-}} [\not\!\!p, \not\!\!n_{-}] B_{3} \\ &+ \frac{1}{P \cdot n_{-}} \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \gamma_{\mu} \gamma_{5} P_{\nu} p_{\rho} n_{-\sigma} B_{4} \end{split}$$

 A_i, B_i are real scalar functions with dimension $1/[m]^4$.

If we keep only the leading terms in $1/P^+$ (leading twist)

$$\Phi(p,P) \approx P^+ \left(A_2 + xA_3\right) \not\!\!\!/_{+} + P^+ \frac{i}{2M} \left[\not\!\!/_{+}, \not\!\!/_{T}\right] A_4,$$

Enter the Parton Distribution Functions

$$\Phi(p,P) \approx P^+ \left(A_2 + xA_3\right) \not\!\!\!/_{+} + P^+ \frac{i}{2M} \left[\not\!\!/_{+}, \not\!\!/_{T}\right] A_4,$$

$$\Phi(x) \equiv \int d^2 \boldsymbol{p}_T dp^- \Phi(p, P) = f_1(x) \frac{\not{n_+}}{2}$$

$$f_1(x) = 2P^+ \int d^2 \mathbf{p}_T dp^- (A_2 + xA_3)$$

A twist on twist

OPE: for local operators twist = dimension - spin

PDFs: "working redefinition" twist = $2 + power of M/P^+$

PDFs are nonlocal, but can be expanded in local operators, all of the same twist

R. Jaffe, hep-ph/9602236

A twist on twist

- There are at least three ways to give a working definition of twist (1/P+ powers, M/Q powers with which they appear in the cross section, good/bad fields decomposition -- see later--, twist of the first nonzero moment)
- All of them correspond to the OPE definitions in the local limit, but are more flexible